Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/692,588	CHEN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Richard Bueker	1763
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Richard Bueker</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Mr. Jiawei Huang</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 4 May 2006	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: rejections stated in Final rejection		
Claims discussed: 1 and 7		
Prior art documents discussed: art of record		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
Ries Bul		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Signature	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In a telephone conversation on May 4, 2006, the Examiner proposed that claims 1 and 7 be amended as shown in the supplemental amendment filed May 5, 2006. In another telephone conversation on May 11, 2006, the Examiner further proposed that claim 7 be amended as shown in the attached Examiner's Amendment to further emphasize the structural nature of the claimed apparatus. Mr. Huang agreed to all proposed changes to the claims.